Synthesis of Chromeno[2,3-b]indol-11(6H)-one via PhI(OAc)₂-Mediated Intramolecular Oxidative C(sp²)–N(H₂) Bond Formation

Jiyun Sun,† Daisy Zhang-Negrerie,† Yunfei Du,*,†,‡ and Kang Zhao*,†

† School of Pharmaceutical Science and Technology, Tianji[n U](#page-5-0)niversity, Tianjin 3000[72](#page-5-0), China

‡ Collaborative Innovation Center of Chemical Science and Engineering (Tianjin), Tianjin 300072, China

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-5-0)STRACT: [Various chro](#page-5-0)meno[2,3-b]indol-11(6H)-ones were conveniently constructed via phenyliodine(III) diacetate (PIDA)-mediated intramolecular oxidative annulation. This method, while realizing a direct oxidative C−N bond formation between an aromatic ring and a pendent free-NH₂ moiety, features a metal-free protocol, mild reaction conditions, simple workup, and the ready availability of the starting substrates.

Constructing intramolecular aromatic C−N bonds is one of
the most robust approaches for the assembly of the N-
containing betapenulas. In addition to the most common containing heterocycles. In addition to the most common strategies such as via transition-metal-catalyzed oxidative C− halogen or C−B bond activation,¹ an alternative straightforward approach is the intramolecular aromatic amination of an unfunctionalized C−H bond c[ar](#page-5-0)ried out through transitionmetal-catalyzed direct oxidative C−N bond formation. However, most of the reported examples seem to suggest that a substituted nitrogen atom is indispensable for such transformations.²

To our knowledge, there are less than a handful of examples describing the [di](#page-5-0)rect oxidative C−N bond formation between unactivated arenes and a pendant-free NH₂ moiety. Cacchi and co-workers realized the synthesis of 4-aryl-2-quinolones from 3,3-diarylacrylamides bearing a free $NH₂$ moiety through CuImediated oxidative C−N bond formation (Scheme 1a).^{3a} Horaguchi reported an intramolecular annulation of Nalkylated 2-aminobiphenyls leading to carbazoles in t[he](#page-5-0) presence of CaO and under high temperature (Scheme $(1b).$ ^{3b,c} Later on, Matsubara also realized the same oxidative C−N(H2) bond formation but by using Pt/C at high tem[pe](#page-5-0)[r](#page-6-0)ature (Scheme 1b). $3d$ In 2013, Cheng and co-workers reported a CuI/bpy-catalyzed synthesis of acridone derivatives through C−H functionali[zat](#page-6-0)ion and C−N bond formation within 2-aminobenzophenone, containing a nonsubstituted N atom (Scheme 1c). $3e$ In our previous work, we also achieved the synthesis of carbazolones from phenyliodine bis- (trifluoroacetate) ([PIF](#page-6-0)A)-mediated direct oxidative annulation of the free $NH₂$ moiety on the side chain to the phenyl ring (Scheme $1d$).⁴

In this paper, we report a new application of the protocol that we devel[o](#page-6-0)ped in our previous work, which gave rise to an alternative approach to forming a class of biologically Scheme 1. Direct Oxidative C−N Bond Formation between Arenes and a Free NH₂ Moiety

meaningful compounds, namely, chromeno[2,3-b]indol- $11(6H)$ -ones.

Even though the chromeno $[2,3-b]$ indol-11(6H)-one skeleton has been identified as a key intermediate in the synthesis of the chromeno $[2,3-b]$ indole derivatives, which have shown potent antitumor activities, 5 only a few synthetic approaches have been reported until just about a decade ago. Löwe and coworkers found that these c[o](#page-6-0)mpounds could be obtained from reduction of 7-(2-piperidin-1-ylethoxy)isoflavone derivatives with zinc dust in acetic acid followed by oxidation with oxygen (Figure 1, path a).⁶ Bergman et al. reported the building of this skeleton through cyclization of 2-phenoxyindole-3-carboxylates, made a[va](#page-1-0)ilable fr[om](#page-6-0) the coupling of indole-3-carboxylate and

Received: October 5, 2014 Published: December 15, 2014

Figure 1. Known synthetic routes to chromeno[2,3-b]indol-11(6H)ones.

phenols (Figure 1, path b).^{7−9} Our work reported here adds to the list of methods.

2-Amino-3-phenyl-4H-c[hrom](#page-6-0)en-4-one, readily prepared via condensation of benzyl cyanide with methyl salicylate, 10 was chosen as the model substrate to probe the feasibility of the proposed conversion. By applying the conditions devel[ope](#page-6-0)d in our previous work, substrate 1a was successfully converted to the desired chromeno[2,3-b]indol-11(6H)-one 2a, albeit in a mere 13% yield (Table 1, entry 1).

^aConcentrations of 1a were 0.1 mol/L unless otherwise stated. Isolated yields. α No reaction occurred. α The concentration of 1a was 0.01 mol/L.

At the switching of PIFA to the less potent PIDA, another commonly used hypervalent iodine(III) oxidant, we were pleased to witness a near-complete conversion of 1a into 2a within 4 h with a 87% yield in 1,2-dichloroethane as solvent (Table 1, entries 2−6). When the reaction was allowed to take place at 60 °C, it took a shorter amount of time to reach completion but was accompanied by a much lower yield of 68%, due to the formation of more byproducts (Table 1, entry 7). On the other hand, lowering the reaction temperature to 0 °C rendered the reaction very sluggish, and eventually, it took 72 h for the reaction to go completion (Table 1, entry 8). Further study showed that when 1a was diluted from 0.10 mol/ L (supersaturated solution) to 0.01 mol/L, the yield of the

product 2a was insignificantly affected (Table 1, entry 9). Attempts to further improve the yield by adding additives such as $BF_3 \cdot Et_2O$ or Na_2CO_3 were shown to be unsuccessful (Table 1, entries 10 and 11).

To explore the scope and limitation of this newly developed method, various substituted 2-amino-3-phenyl-4H-chromen-4 ones were examined under the optimized reaction conditions. As shown in Table 2, a wide range of substituents on either of the two phenyl rings could be well tolerated for the application of the method. Co[nc](#page-2-0)erning the substituent effect of R′ (Table 2, entries 2−9), the electron-withdrawing halogen groups at the para-position gave the expected products in good to excellent [yi](#page-2-0)elds (Table 2, entries 2, 4, and 6). The low yields of 38% and 45% (Table 2, entries 3 and 9) from the ortho-substituted R′, be it electron-wi[th](#page-2-0)drawing or electron-donating, could be ascribed to the steric [r](#page-2-0)epulsion of the ortho-substituent and the reduced number of available coupling carbon atoms. Yields from substrates bearing an electron-donating substituent, R′ = OMe, at either the para or meta position, were reasonably high (Table 2, entries 7 and 8), with the latter giving two separable regioisomeric products $(2h:2h'$ in a ratio of 1:3) (Table 2, e[nt](#page-2-0)ry 8). It is worth noting that only one regioisomeric product 2e was isolated by filtration from the reaction [o](#page-2-0)f the *meta*-substituted substrate 1e, with $R' = Cl$ (Table 2, entry 5), although crude ${}^{1}H$ NMR analysis showed that the other regioisomeric product 2e′ was also formed. Studies [o](#page-2-0)n the substituent effect of R on the reaction show very minor impact on the yield. To our delight, all substrates with the various substituents on the A ring are extremely well tolerated and the cyclized products were obtained in consistently high yields, including that where the A ring was switched to naphthalene (Table 2, entries 10−15). Yield values from doubly substituted substrates shadow the observations of the substitution effect of R an[d](#page-2-0) R′, such that the extent of influence of R is small (Table 2, entries 16−18). In these reactions, except entries 5 and 8, no column chromatography was needed during the workup, a[s t](#page-2-0)he desired product could be obtained by simple filtrations.

Two mechanistic pathways were possible for this transformation. As shown in Scheme 2, path a, the intermolecular reaction of enamine 1 and PIDA generated the N-iodo intermediate 3 after losing o[ne](#page-3-0) molecule of acetic acid. Afterward, the nitrene intermediate 4, formed through cleavage of the N−I bond at the release of a molecule of PhI and acetic acid, was inserted into the aromatic ring through electrophilic substitution reaction, and led to the final product 2 .¹¹ Alternatively, the N-iodo intermediate 3 might undergo a concerted cyclization process to give oxonium ion 6, with t[he](#page-6-0) release of a molecule of PhI and acetic acid. Finally, rearomatizaiton of 6 by loss of a proton would give the compound 2. In order to testify which pathway is more preferable, we carried out a control experiment and found that the reaction was inhibited by the presence of the radical inhibitor, i.e., TEMPO. This result might suggest that the reaction proceeds via a nonionic mechanism.

■ CONCLUSION

In summary, we have developed a novel method for the synthesis of biologically important chromeno[2,3-b]indol-11(6H)-one derivatives from enamines, mediated by the hypervalent iodine(III) reagents. Other than the metal-free advantage, the reported method also bears desirable features

Table 2. Synthesis of Chromeno $[2,3-b]$ indol-11(6H)-ones Mediated by PIDA^a

 a General conditions: 1 (1.0 equiv), PIDA (1.0 equiv) in DCE at rt. b Isolated yield unless otherwise stated. ^cSome unidentified byproducts were formed. ^dOverall yield of two regioisomeric products, $2e/2e' = 3:1$. ^eOverall yield of two regioisomeric products $2h/2h' = 1:3$.

such as the readily availability of the substrates, mild reaction conditions, and remarkably simple workup procedure.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

I. General Information. ${}^{1}H$ and ${}^{13}C$ NMR spectra were recorded on a 400 or 600 MHz (100 or 150 MHz for ¹³C NMR) spectrometer at 25 °C. Chemical shift values are given in ppm and referred as the internal standard to TMS (tetramethylsilane). The peak patterns are indicated as follows: s, singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; q, quadruplet; m, multiplet; dd, doublet of doublets; br s, broad singlet. The coupling constants (J) are reported in hertz (Hz). High-resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) was obtained on a Q-TOF microspectrometer. Melting points were determined with a micromelting point apparatus without corrections. Infrared spectra were measured on a FT/IR instrument. Tetrahydrofuran (THF), 1,1-dichloroethane (DCE), and N , N -dimethylformamide (DMF) were dried by Ca H_2 before use.

II. Preparation of 2-Amino-3-phenyl-4H-chromen-4-ones 1. General Procedure.¹⁰ To a suspension of 60% sodium hydride (40 mmol, 4.0 equiv) in THF (30 mL) were added methyl salicylate (11 mmol, 1.1 equiv) [and](#page-6-0) benzyl cyanide (10 mmol, 1.0 equiv). The mixture was stirred at 60 °C until TLC indicated the total consumption of the benzyl cyanide. After cooling, hydrochloric acid (2 N, 20 mL) was added, and the formed precipitate was filtered, washed with EtOAc $(3 \times 30 \text{ mL})$, and air-dried.

Following the general procedure, 2-amino-3-phenyl-4H-chromen-4 ones 1 were prepared in 7−90% yields. The spectral and physical data of known 1a, d, g, p, r were reported in the published literature.¹⁰ The novel 2-amino-3-phenyl-4H-chromen-4-ones were characterized as follows:

2-Amino-3-(4-fluorophenyl)-4H-chromen-4-one (1b). Fol[lo](#page-6-0)wing the general procedure for 4 h, 1b was isolated as a white solid: yield 1.38 g, 54%, mp 244−246 °C; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 7.96 $(dd, J = 7.8, 1.2$ Hz, 1H), $7.67 - 7.62$ (m, 1H), 7.40 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 1H),

Scheme 2. Proposed Mechanism

a) Mechanistic pathway involving nitrene intermediate:

7.38 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.36–7.31 (m, 2H), 7.22 (t, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 7.18 (s, 2H); 13C NMR (150 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 172.5, 162.3, 161.1 $(d, J = 241.1 \text{ Hz})$, 152.5, 133.2 $(d, J = 8.0 \text{ Hz})$, 132.2, 129.4 $(d, J = 3.0 \text{ Hz})$ Hz), 125.1, 124.4, 122.7, 116.3, 115.1 (d, J = 21.0 Hz), 97.9; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{15}H_{11}FNO_2^+ [M + H^+]$ 256.0768, found 256.0770; IR (KBr, neat) 3279, 3009, 1642, 1603, 1536, 1510, 1451, 1282, 1220, 753 cm⁻¹. .

2-Amino-3-(2-fluorophenyl)-4H-chromen-4-one (1c). Following the general procedure for 2 h, 1c was isolated as a white solid: yield 1.82 g, 71%, mp 204−206 °C; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 7.95 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.66 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.49–7.35 (m, 3H), 7.35−7.27 (m, 3H), 7.24 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H); 13C NMR (150 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 172.3, 162.1, 160.7 (d, J = 243.3 Hz), 152. 7, 133.9 (d, J $= 3.5$ Hz), 132.3, 129.3 (d, J = 8.1 Hz), 125.1, 124.5, 124.3 (d, J = 3.0 Hz), 122.4, 120.6 (d, J = 16.5 Hz), 116.4, 115.6 (d, J = 22.1 Hz), 92.9; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{15}H_{11}FNO_2^+ [M + H^+]$ 256.0768, found 256.0772; IR (KBr, neat) 3470, 3117, 1649, 1602, 1578, 1540, 1494, 1293, 752 cm⁻¹. .

2-Amino-3-(3-chlorophenyl)-4H-chromen-4-one (1e). Following the general procedure for 4 h, 1e was isolated as a light gray solid: yield 1.64 g, 60%, mp 238−240 °C; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 7.97 $(d, J = 7.8 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 7.65 \text{ (t, } J = 7.8 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 7.44 \text{ (t, } J = 7.8 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}),$ 7.42−7.38 (m, 2H), 7.36 (s, 2H), 7.34 (s, 2H), 7.29 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 172.3, 162.2, 152.5, 135.5, 132.7, 132.3, 131.1, 130.0, 129.9, 126.6, 125.1, 124.4, 122.6, 116.4, 97.7; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{15}H_{11}^{35}CINO_2^+ [M + H^+]$ 272.0473, found 272.0476; IR (KBr, neat) 3298, 3141, 1634, 1605, 1568, 1536, 1486, 1461, 1410, 750, 679 cm⁻¹. .

2-Amino-3-(4-bromophenyl)-4H-chromen-4-one (1f). Following the general procedure for 6 h, 1f was isolated as a light gray solid: yield 1.30 g, 41%, mp 272–274 °C; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 7.97 $(d, J = 7.2 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 7.64 \text{ (t, } J = 7.2 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 7.58 \text{ (d, } J = 8.4 \text{ Hz}, 2H),$ 7.44−7.35 (m, 2H), 7.29 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 4H); 13C NMR (150 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 172.3, 162.1, 152.5, 133.4, 132.6, 132.2, 131.2, 125.1, 124.4, 122.6, 119.8, 116.3, 97.7; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{15}H_{11}^{79}BrNO_2^+$ [M + H⁺] 315.9968, found 315.9966; IR (KBr, neat) 3284, 3108, 1641, 1603, 1533, 1492, 1460, 1448, 1004, 753 cm[−]¹ .

2-Amino-3-(3-methoxyphenyl)-4H-chromen-4-one (1h). Following the general procedure for 4 h, 1h was isolated as a light yellow solid: yield 0.80 g, 30%, mp 180−182 °C; ¹ H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 7.97 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.64 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.38 $(dd, J = 18.0, 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.33 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.18 (s, 2H), 6.93–$ 6.83 (m, 3H), 3.77 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 172.4, 162.1, 159.2, 152.5, 134.5, 132.1, 129.2, 125.2, 124.3, 123.4, 122.8, 116.5, 116.3, 112.4, 98.8, 54.8; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\rm C_{16}H_{14}NO_3^+$ [M + H+] 268.0968, found 268.0968; IR (KBr, neat) 3430, 3060, 1649, 1608, 1537, 1489, 1462, 1413, 1033, 754, 702 cm[−]¹ .

2-Amino-3-(o-tolyl)-4H-chromen-4-one (1i). Following the general procedure for 2 h, 1i was isolated as a white solid: yield 0.70 g, 28%, mp 192−194 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.20 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.55 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.34 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.27 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.19 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 7.17−7.08 (m, 3H), 5.11 (s, 2H), 2.15 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 174.5, 161.7, 153.3, 139.0, 132.0, 131.8, 131.5, 130.5, 128.3, 126.4, 126.0, 124.5, 123.1, 116.5, 100.0, 19.4; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{16}H_{14}NO_2^+$ $[M + H^+]$ 252.1019, found 252.1015; IR (KBr, neat) 3465, 3130, 1638, 1602, 1574, 1533, 1475, 1442, 764, 751 cm⁻¹. .

2-Amino-7-fluoro-3-phenyl-4H-chromen-4-one (1j). Following the general procedure for 4 h, 1j was isolated as a light yellow solid: yield 0.59 g, 23%, mp 232−234 °C; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 8.02 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 7.43 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 7.37–7.29 (m, 4H), 7.25 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 172.3, 164.3 (d, J = 247.4 Hz), 162.9, 153.8 (d, J = 13.4 Hz), 133.4, 131.6, 128.8, 128.1 (d, $J = 10.4$ Hz), 127.2, 120.3, 112.9 (d, $J = 22.1$ Hz), 104.0 (d, $J = 25.7$ Hz), 98.9; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{15}H_{11}FNO_2^+ [M + H^+]$ 256.0768, found 256.0772; IR (KBr, neat) 3279, 3108, 1644, 1619, 1533, 1493, 1451, 1437, 1148, 844, 689 cm⁻¹ .

2-Amino-5-chloro-3-phenyl-4H-chromen-4-one (1k). Following the general procedure for 4 h, 1k was isolated as a light yellow solid: yield 0.46 g, 17%, mp 235−237 °C; ¹ H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 7.56 (t, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.41 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.37 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2H), 7.30 (dd, $J = 13.8$, 7.2 Hz, 3H), 7.10 (s, 2H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 171.6, 160.8, 154.3, 133.0, 131.8, 131.7, 131.2, 128.3, 127.5, 126.7, 119.2, 116.2, 99.6; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{15}H_{11}^{35}CINO_2^+ [M + H^+]$ 272.0473, found 272.0471; IR (KBr, neat) 3311, 3142, 1640, 1593, 1534, 1498, 1457, 1434, 928 cm^{-1} . .

2-Amino-7-bromo-3-phenyl-4H-chromen-4-one (1l). Following the general procedure for 4 h, 1l was isolated as a white solid: yield 1.08 g, 34%, mp >300 °C; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 8.01 (s, 1H), 7.79 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.45−7.38 (m, 3H), 7.37−7.28 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 170.9, 162.3, 151.4, 134.6, 132.8, 131.1, 128.3, 127.3, 126.8, 124.6, 119.0, 116.6, 98.9; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{15}H_{11}^{79}BrNO_2^+ [M + H^+]$ 315.9968, found 315.9962; IR (KBr, neat) 3473, 3104, 1634, 1595, 1585, 1523, 1494, 1460, 1434, 1270, 810 cm⁻¹. .

2-Amino-7-(benzyloxy)-3-phenyl-4H-chromen-4-one (1m). Following the general procedure for 3 h, 1m was isolated as a light gray solid: yield 3.10 g, 90%, mp 252−254 °C; ¹ H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 7.87 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.49 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.45− 7.38 (m, 4H), 7.36 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.29 (dd, J = 14.7, 7.2 Hz, 3H), 7.07−6.97 (m, 3H), 6.93 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 1H), 5.25 (s, 2H); 13C NMR $(150 \text{ MHz}, \text{ DMSO-}d_6) \delta$ 172.4, 162.0, 161.3, 153.8, 136.4, 133.3, 131.2, 128.5, 128.2, 128.0, 127.8, 126.5, 126.5, 116.4, 113.1, 100.8, 98.1, 69.8; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{22}H_{18}NO_3^+$ [M + H⁺] 344.1281, found 344.1284; IR (KBr, neat) 3490, 3089, 1637, 1606, 1587, 1529, 1494, 1460, 1421, 1259, 1183, 1022, 774, 742, 701 cm[−]¹ .

2-Amino-8-methyl-3-phenyl-4H-chromen-4-one (1n). Following the general procedure for 4 h, 1n was isolated as a white solid: yield 1.24 g, 49%, mp 276−278 °C; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 7.79 $(d, J = 7.8 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 7.49 \ (d, J = 7.2 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 7.42 \ (t, J = 7.2 \text{ Hz}, 2H),$ 7.31 (dd, J = 20.1, 7.6 Hz, 3H), 7.25 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.13 (s, 2H), 2.43 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 172.8, 161.9, 150.8, 133.3, 132.9, 131.1, 128.3, 126.6, 125.4, 123.7, 122.7, 122.6, 98.6, 14.7; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{16}H_{14}NO_2^+$ $[M + H^+]$ 252.1019, found 252.1026; IR (KBr, neat) 3477, 3166, 1635, 1606, 1574, 1536, 1496, 1454, 1434, 764 cm⁻¹. .

2-Amino-3-phenyl-4H-benzo[g]chromen-4-one (1o). Following the general procedure for 3 h, 1o was isolated as a light gray solid: yield 1.64 g, 57%, mp 296−298 °C; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 8.62 (s, 1H), 8.17 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 8.06 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.93 $(s, 1H)$, 7.63 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 7.54 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.45 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.41–7.28 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 172.5, 162.7, 149.6, 134.5, 133.4, 131.3, 129.7, 129.1, 128.3, 127.9, 127.2, 126.6, 125.6, 125.5, 122.4, 112.1, 98.1; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{19}H_{14}NO_2^+$ $[M + H^+]$ 288.1019, found 288.1019; IR (KBr, neat) 3477, 3109, 1629, 1608, 1586, 1551, 1536, 1468, 1447, 1429, 746 cm^{-1} . .

2-Amino-3-(4-chlorophenyl)-8-methyl-4H-chromen-4-one (1q). Following the general procedure for 5 h, 1q was isolated as a white

solid: yield 1.58 g, 55%, mp 300−302 °C; ¹ H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 7.78 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.49 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.45 $(d, J = 7.8 \text{ Hz}, 2H), 7.33 (d, J = 8.4 \text{ Hz}, 2H), 7.25 (d, J = 7.8 \text{ Hz}, 1H),$ 7.22 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2H), 2.43 (s, 3H). Unfortunately, the poor solubility of 1q prevented 13C NMR characterization; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{16}H_{13}^{35}CINO_2^+ [M + H^+]$ 286.0629, found 286.0633; IR (KBr, neat) 3495, 3143, 1637, 1606, 1575, 1535, 1494, 1450, 1428, 758 cm[−]¹ .

III. Preparation of Chromeno[2,3-b]indol-11(6H)-ones 2. General Procedure. To a suspension of 2-amino-3-phenyl-4Hchromen-4-one 1 (1.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in DCE (10 mL) was added PIDA (1.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv). The mixture was stirred at room temperature until TLC indicated the total consumption of the 2 amino-3-phenyl-4H-chromen-4-one. Then the formed precipitate was filtered, washed with MeOH $(3 \times 30 \text{ mL})$, and air-dried.

2h and 2h′ were separated by silica gel (200−300 mesh) column chromatography using a mixture of DCM and MeOH (98/2, v/v) as eluent.

The ratio of $2e$ and $2e'$ was calculated by ${}^{1}H$ NMR analysis.

The spectral and physical data of known 3-bromochromeno[2,3 b]indol-11(6H)-one 2l was reported in the published literature.⁵

Chromeno[2,3-b]indol-11(6H)-one (2a). Following the general procedure for 4 h, 2a was isolated as a white solid: yield 205 mg[, 8](#page-6-0)7%, mp >300 °C; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 12.88 (s, 1H), 8.26 $(d, J = 7.8 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 8.12 (d, J = 7.8 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 7.81 (t, J = 7.5 \text{ Hz}, 1H),$ 7.75 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.55 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.51 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.34 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.30 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 171.5, 156.2, 153.6, 132.7, 132.4, 125.5, 124.8, 123.5, 123.5, 122.1, 121.8, 120.4, 117.6, 112.0, 98.8; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{15}H_{10}NO_2^+$ [M + H⁺] 236.0706, found 236.0708; IR (KBr, neat) 3026, 1622, 1604, 1590, 1551, 1522, 1200, 876, 829, 757, 736 cm⁻¹ .

8-Fluorochromeno[2,3-b]indol-11(6H)-one (2b). Following the general procedure for 24 h, 2b was isolated as a light gray solid: yield 226 mg, 89%, mp >300 °C; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 13.06 (s, 1H), 8.25 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 8.08 (dd, J = 8.7, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 7.81 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.75 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.55 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.33 (dd, J = 9.6, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.21−7.10 (m, 1H); 13C NMR (150 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 171.5, δ 159.5 (d, J = 236.0 Hz), 156.1, 153.5, 133.0, 132.3 (d, J = 12.6 Hz), 125.5, 125.0, 123.4, 121.5 (d, J = 10.1 Hz), 118.4, 117.8, 109.8 (d, $J = 23.4$ Hz), 98.9 (d, $J = 26.6$ Hz), 98.50; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{15}H_{9}FNO_{2}^{+}[M + H^{+}]$ 254.0612, found 254.0619; IR (KBr, neat) 2993, 2841, 1626, 1605, 1557, 1524, 1505, 1198, 1130, 755 cm⁻¹. .

10-Fluorochromeno[2,3-b]indol-11(6H)-one (2c). Following the general procedure for 120 h, 2c was isolated as a white solid: yield 97 mg, 38%, mp >300 °C; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 13.23 (s, 1H), 8.26 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.81 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.75 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.55 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.33 (s, 2H), 7.10–7.03 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 170.1, 155.7 (d, J = 248.7 Hz), 155.6, 153.2, 134.5 ((d, J = 11.0 Hz), 133.1, 125.9, 125.1, 124.8 (d, J = 7.4 Hz), 123.4, 117.5, 109.9 (d, $J = 22.4$ Hz), 108.1 (d, $J = 3.5$ Hz), 108.0 (d, $J = 19.8$ Hz), 97.5 (d, $J = 5.6$ Hz); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{15}H_{9}FNO_{2}^{+}$ [M + H⁺] 254.0612, found 254.0615; IR (KBr, neat) 3041, 1626, 1607, 1594, 1555, 1509, 1458, 787, 750 cm⁻¹. .

8-Chlorochromeno[2,3-b]indol-11(6H)-one (2d). Following the general procedure for 24 h, 2d was isolated as a light gray solid: yield 249 mg, 92%, mp >300 °C; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 13.12 (s, 1H), 8.25 (d, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1H), 8.08 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 1H), 7.82 $(d, J = 7.2 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 7.77 (d, J = 7.8 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 7.62–7.49 (m, 2H), 7.34$ (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 171.6, 156.2, 153.6, 133.1, 132.6, 127.8, 125.6, 125.2, 123.3, 122.3, 121.6, 120.7, 117.8, 111.8, 98.6; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{15}H_9^{35}CINO_2^+[M + H^+]$ 270.0316, found 270.0323; IR (KBr, neat) 2997, 1625, 1607, 1582, 1551, 1524, 1342, 1195, 1062, 883, 751, 740 cm[−]¹ .

9-Chlorochromeno[2,3-b]indol-11(6H)-one (2e). Following the general procedure for 4 h, 2e was isolated as a white solid: yield 89 mg, 33%, mp >300 °C; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 13.09 (s, 1H), 8.25 (d, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 1H), 8.04 (s, 1H), 7.82 (t, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.76 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.61−7.48 (m, 2H), 7.36 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H).

Unfortunately, the poor solubility of $2e$ prevented ^{13}C NMR characterization; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{15}H_9^{35}CINO_2^+ [M + H^+]$ 270.0316, found 270.0321; IR (KBr, neat) 3045, 1633, 1607, 1584, 1554, 1526, 1456, 1196, 754 cm⁻¹. .

8-Bromochromeno[2,3-b]indol-11(6H)-one (2f). Following the general procedure for 4 h, 2f was isolated as a light gray solid: yield 205 mg, 65%, mp >300 °C; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 13.12 $(s, 1H)$, 8.25 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 8.03 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.82 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 1H), 7.77 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.68 (s, 1H), 7.56 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.46 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 171.7, 156.1, 153.6, 133.1, 132.9, 125.6, 125.2, 124.9, 123.3, 122.0, 121.0, 117.8, 115.7, 114.5, 98.6; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{15}H_9^{79}BrNO_2^+ [M + H^+]$ 313.9811, found 313.9811; IR (KBr, neat) 2993, 1623, 1607, 1579, 1550, 1524, 1195, 882, 749, 738 cm⁻¹. .

8-Methoxychromeno[2,3-b]indol-11(6H)-one (2g). Following the general procedure for 5 h, 2g was isolated as a light gray solid: yield 184 mg, 69%, mp >300 °C; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 12.75 $(s, 1H)$, 8.25 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.97 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.82–7.76 $(m, 1H)$, 7.73 $(d, J = 8.4 \text{ Hz}, 1H)$, 7.53 $(t, J = 7.2 \text{ Hz}, 1H)$, 7.01 $(d, J =$ 1.8 Hz, 1H), 6.93 (dd, J = 8.4, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 3.83 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 171.2, 156.9, 155.5, 153.4, 133.0, 132.6, 125.5, 124.9, 123.5, 121.2, 117.6, 115.4, 110.4, 98.8, 96.3, 55.4; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{16}H_{12}NO_3^+$ [M + H⁺] 266.0812, found 266.0809; IR (KBr, neat) 2998, 1626, 1604, 1554, 1524, 1509, 1195, 1151, 1101, 784, 755 cm⁻¹. .

7-Methoxychromeno[2,3-b]indol-11(6H)-one (2h). Following the general procedure for 4 h, 2h was isolated as a white solid: yield 51 mg, 19%, mp >300 °C; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 13.10 (s, 1H), 8.25 (d, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.84–7.77 (m, 1H), 7.72 (dd, $J = 15.6$, 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.54 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.24 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 6.98 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 3.98 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 171.8, 155.4, 153.6, 145.8, 133.0, 125.6, 124.9, 123.4, 123.1, 122.8, 121.2, 117.7, 113.0, 105.3, 99.2, 55.5; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{16}H_{12}NO_3^+$ [M + H+] 266.0812, found 266.0806; IR (KBr, neat) 3422, 3117, 1623, 1609, 1545, 1525, 1505, 1214, 1026, 1004 cm[−]¹ .

9-Methoxychromeno[2,3-b]indol-11(6H)-one (2h'). Following the general procedure for 4 h, 2h′ was isolated as a white solid: yield 152 mg, 57%, the yield ratio of $2h$ and $2h'$ is 1:3, mp >300 °C; $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR $(600 \text{ MHz}, \text{ DMSO-}d_6) \delta 12.78 \text{ (s, 1H)}, 8.25 \text{ (d, } J = 7.8 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 7.80$ $(t, J = 7.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.74 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 1H), 7.63 (s, 1H), 7.54 (t, $J =$ 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.41 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.95 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 3.84 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 171.6, 155.7, 155.3, 153.5, 132.9, 126.2, 125.5, 124.9, 123.3, 122.6, 117.7, 112.6, 112.2, 103.3, 98.9, 55.4; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{16}H_{12}NO_3^+$ $[M + H^+]$ 266.0812, found 266.0810; IR (KBr, neat) 3019, 2955, 1623, 1606, 1558, 1524, 1479, 1204, 839, 755, 729 cm⁻¹. .

10-Methylchromeno[2,3-b]indol-11(6H)-one (2i). Following the general procedure for 6 h, 2i was isolated as a white solid: yield 120 mg, 48%, mp >300 °C; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 12.90 (s, 1H), 8.26 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.79 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.71 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.27 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.21 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.04 (d, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 1H), 3.03 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 170.9, 155.9, 152.8, 132.8, 132.3, 131.8, 126.1, 124.8, 124.0, 123.6, 123.5, 121.7, 117.2, 109.0, 99.6, 22.2; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{16}H_{12}NO_2^+$ [M + H⁺] 250.0863, found 250.0863; IR (KBr, neat) 3035, 1629, 1608, 1578, 1551, 1518, 1501, 1458, 748 cm^{-1} . .

3-Fluorochromeno[2,3-b]indol-11(6H)-one (2j). Following the general procedure for 36 h, 2j was isolated as a light gray solid: yield 203 mg, 80%, mp >300 °C; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 12.99 (s, 1H), 8.29 (dd, J = 8.7, 6.9 Hz, 1H), 8.10 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.79−7.72 (m, 1H), 7.51 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.42 (td, J = 8.4, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.33 (dt, J = 24.6, 7.2 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 170.9, 164.1 (d, J = 248.6 Hz), 155.9, 154.4 (d, J = 12.0 Hz), 131.8, 127.9 (d, J = 10.5 Hz), 123.8, 122.1, 121.7, 120.5, 120.4, 113.1 (d, J = 21.9 Hz), 111.9, 105.0 (d, J = 25.7 Hz), 98.6; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{15}H_9FNO_2^+ [M + H^+]$ 254.0612, found 254.0611; IR (KBr, neat) 3021, 1636, 1608, 1589, 1558, 1524, 1489, 1458, 1255, 784, 774, 741 cm^{-1} . .

1-Chlorochromeno[2,3-b]indol-11(6H)-one (2k). Following the general procedure for 6 h, 2k was isolated as a gray solid: yield 217 mg, 80%, mp >300 °C; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 12.86 (s, 1H), 8.12 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.76−7.67 (m, 2H), 7.57−7.45 (m, 2H), 7.38–7.26 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 170.8, 155.4, 154.4, 132.5, 132.3, 131.9, 128.2, 123.7, 122.0, 121.9, 120.3, 120.0, 117.6, 111.8, 99.3; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{15}H_9^{35}CINO_2^+$ [M + H+] 270.0316, found 270.0324; IR (KBr, neat) 3080, 1625, 1592, 1525, 1231, 932, 869, 800, 748 cm⁻¹. .

2-Bromochromeno[2,3-b]indol-11(6H)-one (2l). Following the general procedure for 3 h, 2l was isolated as a white solid: yield 271 mg, 86%, mp >300 °C; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 13.03 (s, 1H), 8.29 (d, $J = 2.4$ Hz, 1H), 8.10 (d, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1H), 7.96 (dd, $J =$ 9.0, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.77 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.51 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.36 (t, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.31 (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 1H).

3-(Benzyloxy)chromeno[2,3-b]indol-11(6H)-one (2m). Following the general procedure for 24 h, 2m was isolated as a light gray solid: yield 339 mg, 99%, mp >300 °C; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 12.85 (s, 1H), 8.15 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.10 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.56– 7.47 (m, 3H), 7.44 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.41–7.26 (m, 4H), 7.19 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 5.29 (s, 2H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 171.5, 161.9, 155.7, 155.0, 136.2, 131.7, 128.5, 128.1, 127.9, 126.8, 123.4, 121.9, 121.9, 120.3, 117.1, 113.7, 111.7, 102.1, 98.3, 70.0; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{22}H_{16}NO_3^+$ [M + H⁺] 342.1125, found 342.1127; IR (KBr, neat) 3033, 1605, 1551, 1520, 1492, 1460, 1262, 1233, 740 cm^{-1} . .

4-Methylchromeno[2,3-b]indol-11(6H)-one (2n). Following the general procedure for 24 h, 2n was isolated as a light gray solid: yield 198 mg, 79%, mp >300 °C; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 12.96 $(s, 1H)$, 8.14–8.06 (m, 2H), 7.65 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 1H), 7.49 (d, J = 7.8) Hz, 1H), 7.42 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.31 (dt, J = 22.8, 7.2 Hz, 2H), 2.56 $(s, 3H);$ ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 171.9, 155.7, 152.0, 133.8, 131.9, 126.6, 124.3, 123.6, 123.3, 123.2, 121.9, 121.8, 120.4, 111.8, 98.5, 15.4; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{16}H_{12}NO_2^+ [M + H^+]$ 250.0863, found 250.0867; IR (KBr, neat) 3004, 1617, 1853, 1555, 1523, 1486, 1478, 1344, 849 cm⁻¹. .

Benzo[6,7]chromeno[2,3-b]indol-13(5H)-one (2o). Following the general procedure for 14 h, 2o was isolated as a light gray solid: yield 272 mg, 95%, mp >300 °C; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 12.99 $(s, 1H)$, 8.91 $(s, 1H)$, 8.30 $(d, J = 9.0 \text{ Hz}, 2H)$, 8.14 $(d, J = 7.2 \text{ Hz},$ 2H), 7.77−7.67 (m, 1H), 7.67−7.59 (m, 1H), 7.51 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.39−7.27 (m, 2H). Unfortunately, the poor solubility of 2o prevented 13C NMR characterization; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\rm C_{19}H_{12}NO_2^+$ $\rm [M + H^+]$ 286.0863, found 286.0862; IR (KBr, neat) 3047, 1646, 1613, 1589, 1560, 1531, 1196, 1096, 838, 788, 763 cm[−]¹ .

8-Chloro-3-methoxychromeno[2,3-b]indol-11(6H)-one (2p). Following the general procedure for 12 h, 2p was isolated as a light gray solid: yield 259 mg, 86%, mp >300 °C; ¹ H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO d_6) δ 13.05 (s, 1H), 8.13 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.05 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.32 (dd, J = 8.4, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.28 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.12 (dd, J = 8.7, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 3.93 (s, 3H). Unfortunately, the poor solubility of 2p prevented ¹³C NMR characterization; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{16}H_{11}^{35}$ ClNO₃⁺ [M + H⁺] 300.0422, found 300.0421; IR (KBr, neat) 3064, 1630, 1605, 1578, 1543, 1520, 1486 1264, 1234 cm^{-1} . .

8-Chloro-4-methylchromeno[2,3-b]indol-11(6H)-one (2q). Following the general procedure for 48 h, 2q was isolated as a white solid: yield 251 mg, 88%, mp >300 °C; ¹ H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO d_6) δ 13.19 (s, 1H), 8.08 (t, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.68 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.52 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.43 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.33 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.56 (s, 3H). Unfortunately, the poor solubility of $2q$ prevented ¹³C NMR characterization; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{16}H_{11}^{35}CINO_2^+$ [M + H+] 284.0473, found 284.0471; IR (KBr, neat) 3065, 1619, 1587, 1556, 1524, 1226, 1187, 762, 746, 665 cm[−]¹ .

3,8-Dimethoxychromeno[2,3-b]indol-11(6H)-one (2r). Following the general procedure for 14 h, 2r was isolated as a gray solid: yield 127 mg, 43%, mp >300 °C; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 12.69 $(s, 1H)$, 8.12 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.94 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.24 (s, 1H), 7.10 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.99 (s, 1H), 6.91 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 3.92 (s, 3H), 3.83 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 171.2,

162.7, 156.6, 155.3, 155.0, 132.8, 126.7, 121.0, 117.0, 115.4, 113.1, 110.4, 101.1, 98.3, 96.1, 56.0, 55.3; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{17}H_{14}NO_4^+$ $[M + H^+]$ 296.0917, found 296.0917; IR (KBr, neat) 3009, 2836, 1635, 1606, 1590, 1519, 1495, 1150, 1100, 825 cm[−]¹ .

IV. Preparation of 6-Methylchromeno[2,3-b]indol-11(6H) $one⁹$ To a suspension of 2a (1.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in DMF (5 mL) was added NaH (1.2 mmol, 1.2 equiv). The mixture was stirred at roo[m](#page-6-0) temperature until TLC indicated the total consumption of 2a. The reaction mixture was treated with water (50 mL), extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 20 \text{ mL})$, and then washed with water $(2 \times 50 \text{ mL})$. The organic phase, after being dried over anhydrous $Na₂SO₄$, was evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (200−300 mesh silica gel, EtOAc/ petroleum ether = $1/4$, v/v) to give the desired product: white solid, yield 237 mg, 95%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.45 (dd, J = 7.6, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 8.39−8.31 (m, 1H), 7.68−7.62 (m, 1H), 7.54 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.49–7.41 (m, 1H), 7.39–7.28 (m, 3H), 3.85 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 172.6, 155.1, 153.8, 133.5, 132.3, 126.6, 125.0, 124.1, 123.8, 122.8, 121.9, 117.2, 108.9, 100.0, 99.4, 28.2.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

Spectral data for all new compounds. The material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*E-mail: duyunfeier@tju.edu.cn.

*E-mail: kangzhao@tju.edu.cn.

Notes

The auth[ors declare no compe](mailto:kangzhao@tju.edu.cn)[t](mailto:duyunfeier@tju.edu.cn)ing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 21472136) and the National Basic Research Project (2014CB932201) for financial support.

■ REFERENCES

(1) (a) Platon, M.; Amardeil, R.; Djakovitch, L.; Hierso, J.-C. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2012, 41, 3929−3968. (b) Li, J.-J.; Mei, T.-S.; Yu, J.-Q. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 6452−6455. (c) Battistuzzi, G.; Bernini, R.; Cacchi, S.; Salve, I. D.; Fabrizia, G. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2007, 349, 297− 302. (d) Brasche, G.; Buchwald, S. L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 1932−1934. (e) Cho, S. H.; Yoon, J.; Chang, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 5996–6005. (f) Zhao, Q.; Li, C. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 4037– 4040.

(2) (a) Inamoto, K. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 2013, 61, 987−996. (b) Haffemayer, B.; Gulias, M.; Gaunt, M. J. Chem. Sci. 2011, 2, 312− 315. (c) Lyons, T. W.; Sanford, M. S. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 1147− 1169. (d) Tsang, W. C. P.; Zheng, N.; Buchwald, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 14560−14561. (e) Stokes, B. J.; Dong, H.; Leslie, B. E.; Pumphrey, A. L.; Driver, T. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 129, 7500− 7501. (f) Jordan-Hore, J. A.; Johansson, C. C. C.; Gulias, M.; Beck, E. M.; Gaunt, M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 16184−16186. (g) Wasa, M.; Yu, J.-Q. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 14058−14059. (h) Mei, T.- S.; Wang, X.; Yu, J.-Q. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 10806−10807. (i) Inamoto, K.; Saito, T.; Hiroya, K.; Doi, T. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 3900−3903. (j) Peter Tsang, W. C.; Munday, R. H.; Brasche, G.; Zheng, N.; Buchwald, S. L. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 7603−7610. (k) Stokes, B. J.; Jovanović, B.; Dong, H.; Richert, K. J.; Riell, R. D.; Driver, T. G. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 3225−3228. (l) Du, Y.; Liu, R.; Linn, G.; Zhao, K. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 5919−5922. (m) Wang, X.; Jin, Y.; Zhao, Y.; Zhu, L.; Fu, H. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 452−455. (n) Inamoto, K.; Saito, T.; Katsuno, M.; Sakamoto, T.; Hiroya, K. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 2931−2934.

(3) (a) Berrino, R.; Cacchi, S.; Fabrizi, G.; Goggiamani, A. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 2537−2542. (b) Horaguchi, T.; Oyanagi, T. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 2004, 41, 1−6. (c) Creencia, E. C.; Horaguchi, T. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 2006, 43, 1441−1446. (d) Yamamoto, M.; Matsubara, S. Chem. Lett. 2007, 1, 172−173. (e) Huang, P.-C.; Parthasarathy, K.; Cheng, C.-H. Chem.-Eur. J. 2013, 19, 460-464. (4) Ban, X.; Pan, Y.; Lin, Y.; Wang, S.; Du, Y.; Zhao, K. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2012, 10, 3606−3609.

(5) (a) Peng, W.; Switalska, M.; Wang, L.; Mei, Z.-W.; Edazawa, Y.; ́ Pang, C.-Q.; El-Sayed, I. E.-T.; Wietrzyk, J.; Inokuchi, T. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2012, 58, 441−451. (b) Iguchi, T.; Ho, Y.; Ume, T. Novel chromenoindole derivative, production method of the derivative and anticancer agent including the derivative. JP 2013173679 (A), Sep 5, 2013.

(6) Löwe, W.; Witzel, S.; Tappmeyer, S.; Albuschat, R. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 2004, 41, 317−326.

(7) Engqvist, R.; Bergman, J. Tetrahedron 2003, 59, 9649−9653.

(8) Eiden identified chromono $[2,3-b]$ indol-11(6H)-one as a product in the process of treating 3-salicylic-oxindolen with hydrochloride in alcohol. However, in another work, Bergman described that the structure Eiden identified is incorrect (see ref 7). For Eiden's work, see: Eiden, F.; Dobinsky, H. Synthesis 1970, 365.

(9) In order to rule out the possibility that the reaction might give the angular isomer of 2a as a product, we prepared the methylated product of 2a and compared it with its corresponding angular isomer reported by You. The comparison results clearly indicated that they are not the same product. For You's work, see: Wu, J.; Lan, J.; Guo, S.; You, J. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 5862−5865.

(10) (a) Bualboni, G.; Congiu, C.; Onnis, V.; Maresca, A.; Scozzafava, A.; Winumc, J.-Y.; Maietti, A.; Supuran, C. T. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2012, 22, 3063−3066. (b) Wall, R. J.; He, G.; Denison, M. S.; Congiu, C.; Onnis, V.; Fernandes, A.; Bell, D. R.; Rose, M.; Rowlands, J. C.; Balboni, G.; Mellor, I. R. Toxicology 2012, 297, 26−33.

(11) Taber, D. F.; Tian, W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 1058−1059.